Hydrogeological Assessment Report -Shore Lane Development, Wasaga Beach, Ontario



2020-09-11

Prepared for: Beachwood Development Inc.

© Cambium 2020 Reference No.: 10131-002

CAMBIUM INC. 866.217.7900 cambium-inc.com

Peterborough | Barrie | Oshawa | Kingston



# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Methodology	2
2.1	Drilling Program	2
2.2	Physical Laboratory Testing	3
2.3	Hydrogeological Field Tasks	3
3.0	Geological and Hydrogeological Setting	5
3.1	Subsurface Investigation	5
3.1.1	Grain Sieve Analysis	6
3.2	Water Well Records	6
3.2.1	Water Servicing	7
3.3	Hydrogeological Conditions	7
4.0	Results	9
4.1	Single Well Hydraulic Testing	9
4.2	Infiltration Testing	9
5.0	Dewatering	11
6.0	Water Balance	13
6.1	Water Surplus	14
6.2	Infiltration of Water Balance	14
6.3	Pre-Development Water Balance	15
6.4	Post-Development Water Balance	15
6.5	Water Balance Comparison	16
7.0	Conclusions	18
8.0	References	20



### **List of Tables**

Table 1	Grain Size Analysis Results	6
Table 2	Water Well Info Summary	7
Table 3	Water Well and Groundwater Information	8
Table 4	SWHT Hydraulic Conductivity Results	9
Table 5	Infiltration Rates	
Table 6	Proposed Development Areas	14
Table 7	Pre-Development Water Balance	
Table 8	Post-Development Water Balance	
Table 9	Water Balance Comparison	

# **List of Appended Figures**

Figure 1	Site Plan
Figure 2	Infiltration Testing Locations
Figure 3	Water Well Records Within 500 m of Site and Zone of Influence

### **List of Appendices**

- Appendix A Proposed Development Plan and Land Information
- Appendix B Borehole Logs
- Appendix C Grain Size Analysis Results
- Appendix D Aquifer Test Pro<sup>™</sup> Results
- Appendix E Dewatering Calculations
- Appendix F Water Balance Information



# 1.0 Introduction

Cambium Inc. (Cambium) was retained by Beachwood Development Inc., to complete a hydrogeological assessment in support of a proposed residential development located at Part Lot 34 and 35, Concession 3 Town of Wasaga Beach, Ontario (Site).

The property is irregularly shaped and is approximately 5.88 hectares in size. At the time of investigation the Site predominately consisted of forested lands, with adjacent residential buildings bordering the northeast perimeter of the lot. A Site plan is outlined on Figure 1. A proposed development plan is included in Appendix A.

At the time this document was prepared the proposed development consisted of a mix of residential densities (and other land use) which included the following:

- two 6 storey residential buildings with a private amenity area at the southeast corner of the property,
- seven blocks of townhomes in the southwest area of the lot and one additional block of townhomes north of the 6 storey residential buildings,
- thirty one single residential lots,
- two parking lots immediately north of the respective 6 storey residential buildings, parkland in the western area of the Site and open space in the northern area of the Site,
- a storm water management area in the northern corner of the Site.

The hydrogeological assessment was required to characterize the hydrogeological setting of the Site and assess impact (if any) to sensitive receptors upon development. A geotechnical investigation was completed concurrently with the hydrogeological assessment and was titled as Geotechnical Investigation – PART Lot 34 & 35 Concession 3, Wasaga Beach, Ontario, Revision 2 (Cambium Inc., 2020).



# 2.0 Methodology

This section describes the methodology undertaken to complete the hydrogeological assessment.

# 2.1 Drilling Program

A borehole investigation was completed as part of the geotechnical investigation between December 2 and 5, 2019 to assess subsurface conditions at the Site. A total of seven (7) boreholes were advanced within the site, designated as BH101-19 through BH107-19. The boreholes were terminated at depths ranging from 2.7 m to 9.6 m below ground surface (mbgs).

Drilling and sampling was completed using a track-mounted drill rig, under the supervision of a Cambium Geotechnical Analyst. The boreholes were advanced to the pre-determined depths by means of continuous flight hollow stem augers with 50 mm O.D. split spoon samplers. Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N values were recorded for the sampled intervals as the number of blows required to drive a split spoon (SS) sampler 305 mm into the soil using a 63.5 kg drop hammer falling 750 mm, as per ASTM D1586 procedures. Soil samples were collected at 0.75 m intervals from 0 to 3 m and 1.5 m intervals after 3 m.

Four (4) boreholes; BH103-19, BH104-19, BH105-19, and BH107-19 were installed with monitoring wells to allow for measurement of the static groundwater elevation at the site.

The borehole and monitoring well elevations were surveyed using a Sokkia RTK GNSS system. The elevations were adjusted based on a geodetic benchmark provided by the Jones Consulting Group Ltd., which was the top bolt of a fire hydrant located on the northwest corner of 74 Street N. and Beachwood Road behind the Canada Post mailboxes, which was assigned an estimated relative elevation of 182.32 meters above sea level (mASL). The borehole UTM locations and elevations are provided on the borehole logs in Appendix B. Borehole locations are shown on Figure 1.



# 2.2 Physical Laboratory Testing

Physical laboratory testing, including four (4) sieve and hydrometer analyses (LS-702, 705), was completed on selected soil samples to confirm textural classification and to assess geotechnical parameters. Natural moisture content testing (LS-701) was completed on all retrieved soil samples. Results are presented in Appendix C.

#### 2.3 Hydrogeological Field Tasks

On February 4<sup>th</sup>, 2020, Cambium staff visited the Site to complete Single Well Hydraulic Tests (SWHTs) on four monitoring wells: MW103-19, MW104-19, MW105-19 and MW107-19. The SWHTs (or bail tests) involved inducing an instantaneous change in groundwater head (level) in the well and monitoring the water level response as it recovered to static conditions. Water level recovery was monitored using an automated water level logging device (pressure transducer) which were validated with manual measurements. All equipment used in the wells was decontaminated prior to inserting into the wells. The saturated hydraulic conductivity of water bearing units screened in each well was estimated using AquiferTest Pro<sup>™</sup> software, the results of which are attached in Appendix D.

On May 28, 2020 Cambium staff visited the Site to completed surficial, in-situ infiltration testing. Infiltration testing was performed with a Guelph Permeameter. To complete the infiltration testing, test holes were augered to approximately 0.3 mbgs from the existing grade and the soils encountered at that depth were tested. The results provide the hydraulic conductivity of the soil. Established relationships of hydraulic conductivity and infiltration rate were referenced to determine the infiltration rate of tested soils (Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Housing Development and Buildings Branch., 1997). Cambium completed in-situ infiltration testing at four locations (labelled as IT101-20 through IT104-20) at the Site. Other locations were assessed, however there were several areas on-site that were flooded, therefore additional testing was not possible. The locations of the infiltration tests are outlined on Figure 2.



At the time this document was prepared water levels were measured monthly from the monitoring wells since their installation in December of 2019, to July 2020.



# 3.0 Geological and Hydrogeological Setting

The Site is located within the physiographic region known as the Simcoe Lowlands, which is characterized as till plains which have scoured southern portions of the watershed resulting in flat-floored valley features that generally correspond to current river systems. As a result, soils in the region generally consist of organic material, sands, gravels and some silts and clays are found in the lowland valleys, where they were deposited by glacial and fluvial processes (Chapman, L.J. and D.F. Putnam, 1984).

According to the Ontario Geological Survey (OGS, 2019), the Site is within an area where the following surficial deposits are present:

- Coarse-textured lacustrine deposits consisting of sand, gravel, minor silt and clay
- Stone-poor, sandy silt to silty sand-textured till on Paleozoic terrain
- Coarse-textured glaciolacustrine deposits consisting of sand, gravel, minor silt and clay

According to the Bedrock Geology of Ontario, southern sheet; Ontario Geological Survey, Map 2544, scale 1:250 000 (OGS, 2019) the bedrock in the area of the Site is characterized as limestone, dolostone, shale, arkose and sandstone.

Based on available quaternary geological mapping, the site consists of till deposits generally grading from sandy silt to silt matrix, commonly rich in clasts, often high in total matrix carbonate content (OGS, 2020).

#### 3.1 Subsurface Investigation

As reported in the geotechnical investigation, the subsurface conditions are fairly consistent across the Site. There was approximately 150 mm to 750 mm of topsoil, with an average thickness of approximately 450 mm. Beneath the topsoil, the native soils predominately consists of interbedded sand, silty sand, silt and sand and silty clay extending to borehole termination. Bedrock was not encountered within the investigation depths however the majority of the boreholes were terminated due to both split spoon and practical auger refusal indicating



likely cobbles or boulders. The boreholes were terminated at depths ranging from 2.7 mbgs to 9.6 mbgs. Overburden extended to a depth greater than 9.6 mbgs.

#### 3.1.1 Grain Sieve Analysis

Laboratory grain size distribution analyses were completed on samples collected from four boreholes. A summary of the grain size analyses results is outlined below in Table 1.

		-				
Borehole	Depth (mbgs)	Material	%Gravel	%Sand	% Silt	% Clay
BH101-19	2.3 - 2.7	Brown silty clay, some sand, trace gravel	6	13	29	52
BH102-19	2.3 – 2.7	Grey silt and sand, some clay, some gravel	16	32	34	18
BH104-19	2.3 – 2.7	Grey silty sand, some clay, some gravel	11	45	33	11
BH107-19	2.3 – 2.7	Brown silty clay, some sand, trace organics	2	16	40	42

#### Table 1 Grain Size Analysis Results

#### 3.2 Water Well Records

The MECP's Water Well Information System (WWIS) was accessed to review water well records located within 300 m of the Site. Water well records located within 500 m of the Site boundaries are outlined on Figure 3.

There are 38 water well records located within 300 m of the Site boundaries. Of these records 27 detailed the installation of overburden wells, 8 detailed the installation of bedrock wells, 2 detailed the installation of monitoring wells and 2 detailed well abandonments.

The well records indicate that the soil stratigraphy varied between fine grained (clay material) and coarse grained (sand and gravel) sediments. In many cases a coarse grained water bearing aquifer unit was encountered at depth beneath an aquitard comprised of finer grained sediments. A small portion of the surrounding wells were installed in the bedrock.

Information pertaining to the overburden and bedrock wells is summarized below in Table 2.



		Depth (mbgs)	Water Encountered (mbgs)	Static Water Level	Flow Rate (gpm)
Overburden	Max	25.30	24.70	12.20	20.00
Wells	Min	12.80	4.88	0.61	2.00
Count: 27	Avg	19.76	17.71	3.24	6.74
Bedrock	Max	45.70	36.28	20.00	20.00
Wells	Min	18.60	1.52	5.00	1.00
Count: 8	Avg	26.18	19.09	10.25	6.38

#### Table 2 Water Well Info Summary

#### 3.2.1 Water Servicing

A water servicing map was acquired from the Town of Wasaga Beach which outlines those properties provided water servicing by the Town. The map indicates that most properties surrounding the Site to the north, east and west are provided potable water by the Town. A copy of the water servicing map is attached in Appendix A.

To confirm water servicing in the area a water well survey should be completed of adjacent properties.

#### 3.3 Hydrogeological Conditions

Overburden at the Site consists predominately of interbedded sand, silty sand, silt and sand and silty clay. There were no confining layers identified in the overburden, as such all of the saturated sediments encountered as part of the drilling investigation are considered hydraulically connected.

Groundwater levels measured at the monitoring wells ranged in depth from 3.71 mbgs to 0.65 mbgs. Groundwater elevations ranged from 177.54 metres above sea level (masl) and 180.86 masl. The direction of groundwater flow was north, towards Georgian Bay (see Figure 1).

The water level/elevation information collected to date is outlined below in Table 3.



#### Table 3 Water Well and Groundwater Information

Well		MW103-19	MW104-19	MW105-19	MW107-19
Easting (1	)	569967	570105	570086	569899
Northing	(1)	4924336	4924317	4924257	4924243
Top of Pi	pe (TOP) Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	180.31	179.65	181.58	183.27
Ground S	Surface Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	179.41	178.68	180.49	182.20
Depth (m	top) <sup>(3)</sup>	10.10	5.57	3.79	5.67
Depth (m	1bgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	9.20	4.60	2.70	4.60
Stick-up (	m)	0.90	0.97	1.09	1.07
Dec 0	Water Level (mtop) (3)	2.38	2.11	1.95	dry
2019	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.48	1.15	0.86	dry
2010	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.93	177.54	179.63	dry
lon 17	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.67	1.73	1.91	4.78
2020	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.77	0.77	0.82	3.71
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.64	177.92	179.67	178.49
	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.66	1.78	1.9	4.4
Feb 4, 2020	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.76	0.82	0.81	3.33
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.65	177.87	179.68	178.87
Man O	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.69	1.76	2.08	3.9
2020	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.79	0.80	0.99	2.83
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.62	177.89	179.50	179.37
Amr 10	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.58	1.61	1.77	3.4
Apr 13, 2020	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.68	0.65	0.68	2.33
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.73	178.04	179.81	179.87
Max 44	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.68	1.80	2.03	3.13
1 May 11,	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.78	0.84	0.94	2.06
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.63	177.85	179.55	180.14
L	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.61	1.78	2.07	2.74
Jun 12,	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.71	0.82	0.98	1.67
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.70	177.87	179.51	180.53
Mar. 44	Water Level (mtop) <sup>(3)</sup>	2.69	1.87	2.08	2.41
May 11,	Water Level (mbgs) <sup>(4)</sup>	1.79	0.91	0.99	1.34
2020	Groundwater Elevation (masl) <sup>(2)</sup>	177.62	177.78	179.50	180.86

1. Universal Transverse Mercator (Zone 17T)

2. Metres Above Sea Level

3. Metres Below Top Of Pipe

4. Metres Below Ground Surface



# 4.0 Results

This section discusses the results of the field program.

### 4.1 Single Well Hydraulic Testing

On February 4, 2020, SWHTs were completed at wells MW103-19, MW104-19 and MW105-19 and MW107-19. The data generated from the SWHTs was processed by AquiferTest Pro<sup>™</sup> software, the results of which are summarized in Table 4.

The SWHTs were completed by instantaneously bailing a volume of water from each monitoring well and monitoring water level recovery. The results of the rising head tests are included below.

Note: The water levels at well MW105-19 did not recover during the testing, as such the data could not be assessed for hydraulic conductivity.

The hydraulic conductivity of the water bearing sediments varied from  $1.00x \ 10^{-6}$  m/s to  $3.71 x \ 10^{-6}$  m/s and were considered typical of silty sand/silty clay soils. The hydraulic conductivity results were similar to results outlined in literature (Fetter, 2001; Powers, 2007).

Test #	BH103-19	BH104-19	BH107-19
Bail Test 1	3.71 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s	1.34 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s	1.00 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s
Bail Test 2	3.11 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s	1.56 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s	2.46 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s
Bail Test 3	3.05 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s	2.00 x 10 <sup>-6</sup> m/s	-

Table 4 SWHT Hydraulic Conductivity Results

# 4.2 Infiltration Testing

Cambium had planned to test the infiltration rate at six locations across the Site. Standing water/high water conditions were encountered in the northern and western areas of the Site. The infiltration tests require unsaturated conditions and as a results, only four locations were selected for infiltration testing.

The results of the infiltration testing have been outlined in Table 5. The hydraulic conductivities determined from the Guelph Permeameter testing were assigned corresponding infiltration rates and percolation times as per the Supplementary Guidelines to the Ontario Building Code



1997. SG-6 Percolation Time and Soil Descriptions. Toronto, Ontario (Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Housing Development and Buildings Branch., 1997).

The infiltration rates varied from a geometric mean of 20 mm/hr to 118mm/hr. The range of infiltration rates reflects the variability of the soils found near surface. Additional infiltration testing should be completed in the future at the detailed design stage of any re-infiltration features included in proposed development.

Infiltration Location	n IT101		IT102		IT103		
Material Tested	Silty	clay	Brow	n sand	Silt	Silty clay	
Testing Head Depth (m)	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.10	
Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)	5.34 x 10⁻ <sup>8</sup>	1.27 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.20 x 10⁻⁵	3.82 x 10 <sup>-7</sup>	5.12 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	3.08 x 10 <sup>-8</sup>	
Infiltration Rate (mm/hr)	21	27	116	121	21	18	
Geometric Mean Rate (mm/hr)	24		1	18		20	

#### Table 5 Infiltration Rates



# 5.0 Dewatering

The Geotechnical Investigation indicates that the minimum founding level for the footings of the detached homes/townhomes was 1.4 mbgs. The minimum founding level for the six storey condominium was 3.0 mbgs.

The highest water level measured at the Site was 0.65 mbgs during the April measurement at well MW104-19. As such, the construction excavations for the proposed development will intercept the groundwater table.

The highest construction dewatering rates will occur during the construction activities for the condominiums. The founding depth for the condominiums was recommended to be 3 mbgs. To facilitate a safe working environment it was assumed that the water level will be lowered to approximately 4 mbgs.

The hydraulic conductivities utilized in the dewatering calculations were the high and low rates outline in Table 4 (i.e.,  $3.71 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s and  $1.00 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s, respectively).

To calculate inflow into the excavation, the methods outlined in the Construction Dewatering and Groundwater Control (Powers, 2007) were utilized. The dewatering calculations are attached in Appendix E.

The estimated groundwater inflow rate (into the construction excavation as described above), ranged between 58 m<sup>3</sup>/day and 16 m<sup>3</sup>/day.

The zone of influence (ZOI) of the water taking activities is described as the distance from the excavation to a point at which groundwater lowering due to water taking activities becomes negligible (Powers, 2007). It was conservatively estimated that the ZOI generated from one condominium excavations extends approximately 38 m from the walls of the excavation. The ZOI was outlined on Figure 1 and extended from the property boundaries (as a conservative measure).

The dewatering calculations indicate that the daily dewatering rate of the construction excavation per condominium structure will likely be less than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day, in which case the construction dewatering activities do not need to be registration on the Environmental Activity



and Sector Registry (EASR). Actual dewatering rates should be monitored during construction activities to confirm that dewatering does not require registration on the EASR.

To register water taking activities on the EASR a Water Taking and Discharge Plan is required, It is recommended that a Water Taking and Discharge Plan be prepared should dewatering activities need to be registered on the EASR. The registration process can be delayed until such time as dewatering rates reach the regulatory limit of 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day.

Available information indicates that many of the residences to the north, west and east of the Site are provided potable water servicing by the Town of Wasaga Beach. A water well survey should be completed to confirm water servicing of all adjacent properties. If sensitive wells are identified within (or near) the projected ZOI then a water monitoring program should be established for those wells.



### 6.0 Water Balance

Cambium completed pre- and post-development water balances to assess the potential impact of the development on local groundwater and surface water resources. To complete the assessment the following equations were utilized:

It is noted that the water balance described herein does not account for catchment areas that may extend off-site. The calculations compare the pre- and post-development water balance changes within the Site boundaries (and conceptually determine if changes in groundwater infiltration can be mitigated wholly by on-site LID measures).

The total area of the Site is approximately 5.88 ha. The Site existed undeveloped forested land prior to development. The proposed development consists the following of

- two 6 storey residential buildings with a private amenity area at the southeast corner of the property,
- seven blocks of townhomes in the southwest area of the lot, as well as one additional block of townhomes north of the 6 storey residential buildings,
- thirty one single residential lots,
- two parking lots immediately north of the respective 6 storey residential buildings, parkland in the western area of the Site and open space in the northeastern and southern areas of the property, and,
- a storm water management area in the northern corner of the lot.

The approximate footprints of the proposed development areas are outlined below in Table 6.



Table 6 F	Proposed	Develo	pment	Areas

Area	Footprint (m²)	Impervious Area (%)	Impervious Area (m²)	Pervious Area (m²)
Parkland and Landscaped Areas	9,550	0	0	9,550
Stormwater Management and Drainage Blocks	3,900	0	0	3,900
Roads, Parking Lots and Sidewalks	16,500	100	16,500	0
Single Residential Lots	11,270	60	6,762	4,508
Townhomes	11,200	85	9,520	1,680
Amenity Areas	3,900	50	1,750	1,750
Condominiums	2,880	100	2,880	0
Total	58,800	-	37,412	21,388

#### 6.1 Water Surplus

Water surplus is calculated by determining the difference between precipitation and evapotranspiration (changes in soil water storage was assumed to be negligible over the course of a year). The volume of water surplus is further sub-divided into portions that infiltrate the on-site soils and that are directed off-site as runoff.

According to the Environment Canada Climatic Normals (1981-2010) for the Essa Ontario station (Environment Canada, 2020) the average annual precipitation is 912 mm/year.

The Thornthwaite method was used to determine the amount of evapotranspiration that will occur at the Site (S. Lawrence Dingman, 2008). The calculated depth of evapotranspiration was 545 mm/year. The evapotranspiration calculations are included in Appendix F.

The water surplus of the Site was calculated to be 366 mm/yr from pre-development surfaces and landscaped areas.

Evapotranspiration does not occur from structures or paved areas. It was assumed that 10% of precipitation falling on structures or paved areas is lost directly to evaporation. The remaining depth (i.e., 90% of precipitation) was considered surplus and converted to infiltration and/or runoff. The surplus depth from structures and paved areas was estimated to be 821 mm/yr.

#### 6.2 Infiltration of Water Balance

The volume of surplus water that infiltrates through pervious surfaces on-site was determined by applying an infiltration factor to the surplus depth. The surplus water that does not infiltrate into pervious surfaces will leave the Site as surface water runoff. The infiltration factor varies



from 0 to 1 and is estimated based on topography, soils, and vegetation cover as per the *Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual* (Ministry of the Environment, 2003).

Prior to development, the Site exists as relatively flat, undeveloped forested land. The soils were determined to consist of silt and clay soils. The infiltration factor of the pre-development land was assumed to be 0.55 of available surplus. The infiltration factor of all landscaped, parkland and stormwater management areas included in the proposed development was also assumed to be 0.55. An infiltration factor of 0.55 corresponds to an infiltration rate of 202 mm/yr and a runoff rate of 165 mm/yr.

#### 6.3 Pre-Development Water Balance

The water balance for the existing conditions of the Site was calculated. The pre-development water balance is outlined in Table 6. The pre-development infiltration rate was calculated to be 11,869 m<sup>3</sup>/yr and the runoff rate was 9,711 m<sup>3</sup>/yr.

Table 7 P	Pre-Develo	pment Wa	ater Balance
-----------	------------	----------	--------------

	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Infiltration Rate (QI) (m³/yr)	Runoff Rate (QR) (m³/yr)
Undeveloped Land	58,888	11,869	9,711
		Sum of QI and QR (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	21,580

#### 6.4 Post-Development Water Balance

The post-development water balance is summarized in Table 8. The proposed development includes a significant increase of impervious surfaces, and a reduction of surfaces which allow groundwater infiltration. As a result, groundwater infiltration was calculated to be 4,317 m<sup>3</sup>/year upon development and runoff was calculated to be 38,557 m<sup>3</sup>/year upon development.



	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Infiltration Rate (QI) (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	Runoff Rate (QR) (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)
Parkland and Landscaped	9,550	1,928	1,577
Areas			
Stormwater Management	3,900	787	644
and Drainage Blocks			
Roads, Parking Lots and	16,500	0	13,543
Sidewalks			
Single Residential Lots	11,270	910	6,295
Townhomes	11,200	399	8,091
Amenity Areas	3,900	353	1,725
Condominiums	2,880	0	2,364
Total	58,800	4,317	34,240
		Sum of QI and QR (m <sup>3</sup> /yr)	38,557

#### Table 8 Post-Development Water Balance

1. Runoff for impervious was assumed to be 100% of the surplus generated from these surfaces (i.e., 90% of the total precipitation depth).

#### 6.5 Water Balance Comparison

The water balances of the pre-development and post-development scenarios are summarized below in Table 9.

Tabl	e 9	Water	Balance	Com	narison
IUNI		rater	Dalance	COM	pan 13011

Scenario	QI (m³/yr)	QI Difference From Pre- Development Scenario (m³/yr, % change)	QR (m³/yr)	QR Difference From Pre- Development Scenario (m³/yr, % change)
Pre-Development	11,869	-	9,711	-
Post-Development	4,317	(-7,552 m³/yr, -64%)	34,240	(+24,529 m³/yr, 253%)

The post-development water balance indicates that the groundwater infiltration deficit is 7,552 m<sup>3</sup>/year.

The stormwater management and Low Impact Development features included in the proposed development plan should account for infiltrating at 7,552 m<sup>3</sup>/yr of water in order to compensate for the projected infiltration deficit.

A qualified stormwater management engineer should be retained to design re-infiltration features and develop a stormwater management plan to mitigate storm flows. Groundwater infiltration features should target the native soils underlying the fill. Additional infiltration testing and subsurface investigation features should be completed for the detailed design of groundwater infiltration features. From the observations to date, enhanced infiltration would be



best located in the vicinity of MW103-19 and/or MW107-19. Only runoff from roofed or landscaped/parkland areas should be used for re-infiltration.



# 7.0 Conclusions

Cambium was retained by Beachwood Development Inc., to complete a hydrogeological assessment to support the proposed residential development located at Part Lot 34 and 35, Concession 3 Town of Wasaga Beach, Ontario.

Groundwater levels at the Site ranged between 3.71 mbgs and 0.65 mbgs. The direction of groundwater flow was north, towards Georgian Bay. The hydraulic conductivity of the water bearing sediments was typical of silty sand to silty clay material. Surficial infiltration rates (where measured) ranged between 20 mm/hr and 118 mm/hr.

The dewatering assessment indicated that dewatering rates could range between 58 m<sup>3</sup>/day and 16 m<sup>3</sup>/day (per condominium construction excavation), as such registering dewatering activities on the EASR may be required. Actual dewatering rates should be monitored during construction to determine if and when registration is required.

Cambium recommends that a Water Taking and Discharge Management plan be prepared prior to the commencement of excavation activities to avoid delays should construction dewatering need to be registered on the EASR. Further, a water well survey should be completed prior to dewatering to confirm private water servicing in the area of the Site. If sensitive wells are identified within (or near) the projected ZOI then a water monitoring program should established for those wells.

The water balance indicates that the infiltration deficit will be approximately 7,552 m<sup>3</sup>/year (upon development of the Site). Stormwater management and LID enhanced infiltration features should be designed to re-infiltrate this volume of water per year (at minimum) and sourced from roof drainage or landscaped/parkland areas.



Hydrogeological Assessment Report - Shore Lane Development, Wasaga Beach, Ontario Beachwood Development Inc. Ref. No.: 10131-002 2020-09-11

#### Cambium Inc.



Cameron MacDougall, P.Geo. Hydrogeologist

Mike Bingham, P.Geo. Senior Project Manager



P10100 to 1019910131-002 Tony Romanin - Hydrogeological Assessment - Shore Lane Wasaga Beach/Deliverables/Hydrogeological Report/Final/2020-09-11 10131-002 Hydrogeological Assessment - Shore Lane Wasaga Beach.docx



# 8.0 References

- Cambium Inc. (2020). Geotechnical Investigation PART Lot 34 & 35, Concession 3, Wasaga Beach, Ontario, Revision 2.
- Chapman, L.J. and D.F. Putnam. (1984). *The Physiography of Southern Ontario: Ontario Geological Survey, Special Volume 2.*
- Environment Canada. (2020). Retrieved 10 1, 2019, from Canadian Climate Normals 1981-2010:

https://climate.weather.gc.ca/climate\_normals/results\_1981\_2010\_e.html?searchType= stnProx&txtRadius=25&selCity=&selPark=&optProxType=custom&txtCentralLatDeg=43 &txtCentralLatMin=52&txtCentralLatSec=44.79&txtCentralLongDeg=78&txtCentralLong Min=58&txtCentral

Fetter, C. (2001). Applied Hydrogeology.

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing, Housing Development and Buildings Branch. (1997). Ontario Building Code 1997, containing the Building Code Act and O. Reg. 403/97. Toronto, ON.

Ministry of the Environment. (2003). Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual.

- OGS. (2019). Bedrock Geology of Ontario, southern sheet; Ontario Geological Survey, scale 1:250 000.
- OGS. (2019). Ontario's Quaternary Geology at a compilation scale of 1:1 000 000.
- Powers, J. C. (2007). Construction Dewatering and Groundwater Control, New Methods and Applications.
- S. Lawrence Dingman. (2008). Physical Hydrology, Second Edition.









Appendix A Proposed Development Plan and Land Information







Appendix B Borehole Logs

	ABIUM	Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst T: 866	va ton -217-7900						Log of B	orehole:	BH101-19 Page 1 of 1
Com Lo	Client tractor: ocation.	WWW.C Beacl Walke PART	hwood Development Inc. er Drilling Ltd. <sup>-</sup> Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Beac	<b>F</b> :h	Project : N	Name: Method: UTM:	Geo Holl 17T	tech Investigatio ow Stem Augers , 570045, 492426	n - Romanin Deve 60	lopment Project No Date Completed Elevatio	.: 10131-001 : December 2, 2019 n: 181.52 mASL
		SUBSU	RFACE PROFILE			1 1	SAN	1PLE			
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	entration entratio entration entration entration entration entration entrati	(Z) L L S 10 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
181 -	- <b>0</b>   		Topsoil: Brown sandy topsoil, trace gravel, trace silt, loose, moist	1	SS	5	5		1		
	- - - - - - - -		Sand: Brown sand, trace gravel, trace silt, compact, moist	2	SS	50	15				
180 -	- - - - - - - 2 - - 2		Silty Clay: Brown silty clay, some sand, trace gravel, firm, wet	3	SS	100	8	-			
179 -	- - - - - - -			4	SS	100	5	Ĩ			GSA SS4: 6% Gravel 13% Sand 29% Silt 52% Clay
178 -	3         		Sand: Brown sand, trace gravel, trace silt, trace clay, occasional cobbles, compact, wet	5	SS	5	18				5270 Clay
177 -	- - - - - - - - - - 5		Very dense	6	SS	0	50/ 50 mm	-			Spoon bouncing at 4.6 mbgs Dynamic Cone
176 -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Borehole terminated at 5.0 mbgs due to SPT and practical auger refusal.				49* 62* 59* 55*				Penetration Test (DCPT) began at 5.2 mbgs, terminated at 6.7 mbgs. DCPT was advanced in a separate borehole adjacent to BH101-19.
175 - 174 -							60*				Wet soils encountered at 1.5 mbgs, borehole open upon completion.

14.		Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst	orough /a on						Log of B	orehole:	BH102-19 Page 1 of 1
CAN	BIUM Client	WWW.C Beacl	ambium-inc.com	F	Project	Name:	Geo	tech Investigatio	n - Romanin Deve	lopment Project No	<b>:</b> 10131-001
Con Le	tractor: ocation:	Walko PART	er Drilling Ltd. <sup>-</sup> Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Beac	sh	٨	Nethod: UTM:	Holl	ow Stem Augers , 569925, 492433	37	Date Completed Elevatio	<i>December</i> 2, 2019 <i>n:</i> 180.01 mASL
	;	SUBSU	RFACE PROFILE				SAM	IPLE			
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	Woisture 25 50 75 	(Z) Las 10 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
180 <sup>–</sup>	0		Topsoil: Black topsoil, trace sand, trace gravel, occasional cobbles, loose, moist Sand: Brown sand, some clay, some silt, trace gravel, loose, moist	1A 1B	SS	10	7				
179 -	1		Compact	2	SS	50	24				
179	-		Silty Clay: Brown silty clay, trace gravel, trace sand, very stiff, wet	3	SS	50	17				
170 -			Silt and Sand: Grey silt and sand, some clay, some gravel, compact, wet	4	SS	100	19				GSA SS4: 16% Gravel 32% Sand 34% Silt 18% Clay
177 -	3 		Very Dense	5	SS	0	50/ 75 mm				Wet soils encountered at 1.5
176 -	 4 		Borehole terminated at 3.5 mbgs due to SPT and practical auger refusal.								mbgs and caving at 3.0 mbgs upon completion.

		Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst T: 866	orough /a on -217-7900						Log of B	orehole:	BH103-19 Page 1 of 2
CA	Client	www.c	ambium-inc.com	F	Proiect	Name:	Geo	tech Investigation	- Romanin Deve	lopment <b>Proiect No</b>	.: 10131-001
Con	tractor:	Walke	er Drilling Ltd.	-	A	Nethod:	Holle	ow Stem Augers		Date Completed.	December 4, 2019
L	ocation:	PART	Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Bead	ch		UTM:	17T	, 569967, 492433	6	Elevatio	<b>n:</b> 179.41 mASL
		SUBSU	RFACE PROFILE				SAN	IPLE			
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	e	(Z) L G 10 20 30 40 	Well Installation	Remarks
179 -	0 		Topsoil: Black sandy topsoil, trace sand, loose, moist Silty Clay: Brown silty clay, trace sand, trace gravel, firm, moist	1A 1B	ss	40	6			Cap	Top of Standpipe (TOS) elevation: 180.31 mASL. Groundwater
178 -	+ 1 -			2	ss	100	5				measured at 1.76 mbgs (177.65 mASL) on February 4, 2020.
	 2		Wet	3	SS	100	6			-	
177 -	+ + +		Grey, some sand, very stiff	4	ss	40	22				
176 -	3  		Silty Sand: Grey silty sand, trace clay, trace gravel, very dense, wet	5	SS	55	50/ 255 mm	•		PVC Standpipe Bentonite Plug	
175 -	- <b>-4</b>  										
174 -	5 			6	55	50	50			00000	
173 -	+ 6 			7	SS	90	50/ 255 mm				
172 -	+ -7 - - -			8	SS	80	50/ 75			Sand Pack	
	<del> </del> -8	••••					mm				

CAMBIUM Client: Contractor: Location:	Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst T: 866- www.c Beach Walke PART	orough on 217-7900 ambium-inc.com wood Development Inc. er Drilling Ltd. Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Bead	P	Project I N	Name: Iethod: UTM:	Geo Holl : 17T	tech Investigation ow Stem Augers , 569967, 492433	Log of Bo - Romanin Deve 6	Orehole: Iopment Project No Date Completed Elevatio	BH103-19 Page 2 of 2 .: 10131-001 : December 4, 2019 n: 179.41 mASL
s	UBSU	RFACE PROFILE	SAMPLE							
Elevation (m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	eintsion % Woistrie 25 50 75 	(Z) La SS 10 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
171		Borehole terminated at 9.6 mbgs.	9	SS	80	50/ 255 mm			Cap	Wet soils encountered at 1.5 mbgs.

CAL	ABIUM	Peterb Barrie Oshav Kingst T: 866 www.c	oorough va ton -217-7900 :ambium-inc.com					Log	g of B	orehole:	BH104-19 Page 1 of 1
Con	Client: tractor:	Beacl Walk	hwood Development Inc. er Drilling Ltd.	F	Project   N	Name: Aethod:	Geo Hol	tech Investigation - Ror ow Stem Augers	manin Deve	elopment Project No Date Completed	<b>b.:</b> 10131-001 <b>f:</b> December 4, 2019
	ocation:	PART	۲ Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Bead	ch		UTM:	171	570105, 4924317		Elevatio	<b>n:</b> 178.68 mASL
		SUBSU				<u>г г</u>	SAN	PLE			1
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	25 50 75 10 	(N) Ldo 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
178 -	- 0    		Silty Sand: Brown silty sand, trace gravel, trace clay, compact, moist	1	SS	30	29		/	Cap Bentonite Plug BVC	Top of Standpipe (TOS) elevation: 179.65 mASL. Groundwater measured at 0.82 mbgs (177.87 mASL)
	-   <b>1</b>  -  -  -		Silty Clay: Brown silty clay, some sand, trace gravel, occasional cobbles, firm, wet	2	SS	40	6			Standpipe	on February 4, 2020.
177 -	- - - - - - - - - -			3	SS	90	4				
176 -	- - - - - - - - 3		Silty Sand: Grey silty sand, some clay, some gravel, dense, wet	4	SS	50	43			Sand Pack	GSA SS4: 11% Gravel 45% Sand 33% Silt 11% Clay
175 -				5	SS	60	42			Screen	
	- - - - - - - - - - -									Can	
174 -			Sand: Grey sand, some gravel, trace silt, compact, wet Borehole terminated at 5.0 mbgs.	6	SS	50	25		1		
173 -	- - - - - -										Wet soils encountered at 0.8 mbgs.
	-		И							I	I

	ACTION A	Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst T: 866	orough /a :on -217-7900						Log of B	orehole:	BH105-19 Page 1 of 1
CAN	ABIUM	www.c	ambium-inc.com	-	) vo to o t	Nama	~			Duciest No	
Con	tractor:	Walke	rwood Development Inc. er Drilling Ltd.	r	roject i N	Name: Aethod:	Holl	ow Stem Augers	n - Romanin Deve	Date Completed	December 4, 2019
L	ocation:	PART	Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Bead	ch		UTM:	17T	, 570086, 49242	57	Elevatio	<b>n:</b> 180.49 mASL
		SUBSU	RFACE PROFILE				SAN	1PLE			
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	entre Woistrice 25 50 75	(X) L S 10 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
180 -	- 0 - - - - -		Topsoil: Black sandy topsoil, trace clay, very loose, moist	1	SS	30	2			Cap Bentonite Plug PVC	Top of Standpipe (TOS) elevation: 181.58 mASL. Groundwater measured at 0.81 mbgs (179.68 mASL)
	-  1  1		Silty Clay: Grey silty clay, trace sand, soft, wet	2	SS	95	3		0 0	Standpipe	on February 4, 2020.
179 -			Firm	3	ss	95	4			Sand Pack	
178 -			Silty Sand: Grey silty sand, trace clay, trace gravel, occasional cobbles, very dense, wet	4	ss	10	50/ 280 mm			Screen	
	- - 		Borehole terminated at 2.7 mbgs due to SPT and practical auger refusal.				35*	-		Cap	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test
177 -	-E						25*				(DCPT) began at 3.0 mbgs, terminated at
	-						23*				advanced in a separate borehole
	4						24*	-			adjacent 10 BH105-19.
							24 *				
176 -							21				
	  5						72*	-			
	- - -						82*				
175 -							80*				Wet soils encountered at 0.8 mbgs.
	0				]						

	X ANT	Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst T: 866	orough /a con -217-7900						Log of B	orehole:	BH106-19 Page 1 of 1
Cor	Client. Client. ntractor: .ocation:	WWW.C Beacl Walke PART	a <b>mbium-inc.com</b> nwood Development Inc. er Drilling Ltd. <sup>-</sup> Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Bead	<b>F</b> ch	Project i N	Name: Method: UTM:	Geo Holl 17T	tech Investigatior ow Stem Augers , 569994, 492429	a - Romanin Deve 4	lopment Project No. Date Completed: Elevation	: 10131-001 December 4, 2019 December 4, 2019
	:	SUBSU	RFACE PROFILE				SAM	1PLE			
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	e	(Z) L G 10 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
180	  		Sand: Brown sand, some organics, trace gravel, trace silt, loose, moist	1	SS	20	7	1			
	1 1		Grey, some silt, no organics, compact	2	SS	80	14				
179	  2		Silty Clay: Brown silty clay, some sand, trace gravel, occasional cobbles, firm, wet	3	SS	60	8				
178	   3										
177	- - -		Grey, wet	4	SS	60	7				
176			Sand: Grey sand, some silt, trace gravel, occasional cobbles, very dense, wet	5	SS	20	50/ 205 mm				
175	6		Borehole terminated at 5.0 mbgs due to SPT and practical auger refusal.								Wet soils encountered at 1.5 mbgs.

CA	MBIUM	Peterb Barrie Oshaw Kingst T: 866	orough va ton -217-7900 pambium ing gam						Log of B	orehole:	BH107-19 Page 1 of 1
	Client.	www.c	wood Development Inc.	Į	Project	Name:	Geo	tech Investigatior	n - Romanin Deve	elopment Project No.	.: 10131-001
Cor	ntractor:	Walk	er Drilling Ltd.		Π	Method:	Holl	ow Stem Augers		Date Completed:	December 5, 2019
	ocation:	PART	Lot 34 &35, Concession 3, Wasaga Beac	ch		UTM:	17T	, 569899, 492424	13	Elevation	182.20 mASL
	:	SUBSU	RFACE PROFILE		1		SAN	IPLE	1		
Elevation	(m) Depth	Lithology	Description	Number	Type	% Recovery	SPT (N)	entration with a second	(Z) L G U 10 20 30 40	Well Installation	Remarks
182 -	<b>0</b>		Silty Clay: Brown silty clay, some sand, trace organics, stiff, moist	1	SS	20	11			Cap Bentonite Plug	Top of Standpipe (TOS) elevation: 183.27 mASL. Groundwater measured at 3.3 mbgs (179.94 mASL)
181 ·	1 1		Very stiff	2	SS	40	17			Standpipe	on February 4, 2020.
	  2		Grey, less organics, stiff, wet	3	SS	50	11				
180	+ + +		Trace gravel, firm	4	SS	85	7			- Sand Pack	GSA SS4: 2% Gravel 16% Sand 40% Silt 42% Clav
179	3 			5	SS	100	6			PVC Screen	
178 -	+ +-4 +										
	+ - 5		Sand: Grey sand, some silt, trace gravel, trace clay, occasional cobbles, very dense, wet	6	SS	50	50/ 230 mm			ii∎ii <sup>—</sup> Cap	
177 -	+ + + + 6		Borehole terminated at 5.0 mbgs.								Wet soils encountered at 1.5 mbgs.



Appendix C Grain Size Analysis Results





Project Number:	10131-001	Client:	Beachwood Development Inc.							
Project Name:	PART Lot 34 & 35, Concessio	34 & 35, Concession 3, Town of Wasaga Beach								
Sample Date:	December 2, 2019	Sampled By:	Chris Malliaros - Cambium Inc.							
Location:	BH 101-19 SS 4	Depth:	2.3 m to 2.7 m	Lab Sample No:	S-19-1131					





 MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

 CLAY
 FINE
 MEDIUM
 COARSE
 FINE
 MEDIUM
 COARSE
 BOULDERS

 SILT
 SAND
 GRAVEL
 BOULDERS
 BOULD

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 101-19	SS 4		2.3 m to 2.7 m	6	13	81		23.4
	Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	Cu	C <sub>c</sub>
Silty Clay	some Sand trace Grav	/el	CL	0.0035	-	-	-	-

Date Issued:

August 7, 2020

(Senior Project Manager)

Issued By:

Cambium Inc. (Laboratory) 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com

701 The Queensway | Units 5-6 | Peterborough | ON | K9J 7J6





Project Number:	10131-001	Client:	Beachwood Developme	nt Inc.			
Project Name:	PART Lot 34 & 35, Concession	n 3, Town of Wasag	aga Beach				
Sample Date:	December 2, 2019	Sampled By:	Chris Malliaros - Cambiu	ım Inc.			
Location:	BH 102-19 SS 4	Depth:	2.3 m to 2.7 m Lab Sample No: S-19-11:				

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM								
	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)					
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE			



MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
CLAX	си т	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	BOULDEBS		
CLAT	SILT		SAND			GRAVEL		BOOLDERS		

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth		Gravel		Sand		Silt Clay		Moisture	
BH 102-19	SS 4		2.3 m to 2.7 m Classification		16		32		52			9.6
	Description		Classification		D <sub>60</sub>		D <sub>30</sub>		D <sub>10</sub>		Cu	Cc
Silt and Sar	nd some Clay some Gr	avel	ML		0.1500		0.006	5	-		-	-

Date Issued:

August 7, 2020

(Senior Project Manager)

Issued By:

Cambium Inc. (Laboratory) 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com 701 The Queensway | Units 5-6 | Peterborough | ON | K9J 7J6





Project Number:	10131-001	Client:	Beachwood Developme	nt Inc.			
Project Name:	PART Lot 34 & 35, Concession	n 3, Town of Wasag	aga Beach				
Sample Date:	December 4, 2019	Sampled By:	Chris Malliaros - Cambiu	m Inc.			
Location:	BH 104-19 SS 4	Depth:	2.3 m to 2.7 m Lab Sample No: S-19-113				

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM									
	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)						
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE				



MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM										
CLAY	SII T	FINE	MEDIUM COARSE FINE MEDIUM	MEDIUM	COARSE	ROUNDERS				
CLAT	SILI		SAND			GRAVEL		BOULDERS		

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth	Gravel	Sand		Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 104-19	SS 4		2.3 m to 2.7 m	11	45		44		7.8
	Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>	D <sub>30</sub>		D <sub>10</sub>	Cu	C <sub>c</sub>
Silty Sand	l some Clay some Grav	vel	SM	0.1800	0.039	0	0.0019	94.74	4.45

Date Issued:

August 7, 2020

(Senior Project Manager)

Issued By:

Cambium Inc. (Laboratory) 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com

701 The Queensway | Units 5-6 | Peterborough | ON | K9J 7J6





Project Number:	10131-001	Client:	Beachwood Developme	ent Inc.	
Project Name:	PART Lot 34 & 35, Concessio	n 3, Town of Wasag	ga Beach		
Sample Date:	Decemeber 5, 2019	Sampled By:	Chris Malliaros - Cambio	ım Inc.	
Location:	BH 107-19 SS 4	Depth:	2.3 m to 2.7 m	Lab Sample No:	S-19-1134

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM									
	SAND (<4.	75 mm to 0.075 mm)	GRAVEL (>4.75 mm)						
	FINE	MEDIUM	COARSE	FINE	COARSE				



 MIT SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM

 CLAY
 FINE
 MEDIUM
 COARSE
 FINE
 MEDIUM
 COARSE
 FINE
 MEDIUM
 COARSE
 BOULDERS

 CLAY
 SILT
 SAND
 GRAVEL
 BOULDERS
 BOULDERS

Borehole No.	Sample No.		Depth	Gravel	:	Sand	Silt	Clay	Moisture
BH 107-19	SS 4		2.3 m to 2.7 m	2		16	82		20.2
	Description		Classification	D <sub>60</sub>		D <sub>30</sub>	D <sub>10</sub>	Cu	C <sub>c</sub>
Clay and Si	It some Sand trace Gr	avel	CL	0.0075		-	-	-	-

Date Issued:

August 7, 2020

(Senior Project Manager)

Issued By:

Cambium Inc. (Laboratory) 866.217.7900 | cambium-inc.com

701 The Queensway | Units 5-6 | Peterborough | ON | K9J 7J6



Appendix D Aquifer Test Pro<sup>™</sup> Results



















# Appendix E Dewatering Calculations



#### **Construction Excavation Dewatering**

Scenario	Depth	Equivalent Radius (rw)	Static Level	Dewatered level	Aquifer Thickness	Drawdown	Conductivity	Length to Zero Drawdown	Estimated Inflow	Inflow per day
	(mbgs)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m/s)	(m)	L/min	(m3/day)
High K	3	28	0.65	4	6	3.35	3.71E-06	38	40	58
Low K	3	28	0.65	4	6	3.35	1.00E-06	20	11	16

static level (H)	0.65
ln(Ro/rw) (high)	0.30
ln(Ro/rw) (low)	0.30 (assumed)
Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s) (high)	3.71E-06
Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s) (low)	1.00E-06

Length to Zero Drawdown	3000*((DRAWDOWN))*(HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY^0.5)
(H <sup>2</sup> -h <sup>2</sup> )	((AQUIFER THICKNESS-STATIC LEVEL)^2)-((AQUIFER THICKNESS-DEWATERED LEVEL)^2)
Estimated Inflow	((HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY)*(H <sup>2</sup> -h <sup>2</sup> ))/((0.00000531)*(ln(R <sub>o</sub> /r <sub>w</sub> )))



Appendix F Water Balance Information

#### Climate Normals 1981-2010 Station Data

 Metadata including Station Name, Province, Latitude, Longitude, Elevation, Climate ID, WMO ID, TC ID

 STATION\_NAME
 PROVINCE
 LATITUDE
 LONGITUDIELEVATION CLIMATE\_I
 WMO\_ID
 TC\_ID

 ESSA ONT HYDRO
 ON
 44\*21'00.0 79\*49'00.0216.4 m
 6112340

Legend A = WMO "3 and 5 rule" (i.e. no more than 3 consecutive and no more than 5 total missing for either temperature or precipitation) B = At least 25 years

C = At least 20 years D = At least 15 years

1981 to 2010 Canadian Climate I	Normals stat	tion data		4		1	1.1	A	6	0	Neu	D	Veen Cede
Temperature	Jan	Feb	war	Apr	iviay	Jun	Jui	Aug	Sep	Uct	NOV	Dec	Year Code
Daily Average (°C)	-7.4	-6.2	-1.8	5.9	12.4	17.6	20.2	19.2	14.9	8.8	2.2	-3.8	6.8 D
Standard Deviation	3	2.6	2.1	1.7	2.1	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.5	3	2.1 D
Daily Maximum (°C)	-3	-1.6	3.1	11.3	18.7	23.8	26.3	25.1	20.4	13.6	5.8	0	12 D
Daily Minimum (°C)	-11.8	-10.7	-6.8	0.5	6.1	11.3	13.9	13.3	9.4	3.9	-1.4	-7.5	1.7 D
Extreme Maximum (°C)	13	14	25	31	35	35	36	36	33.9	30.6	23.9	19	
Date (yyyy/dd)	1995/14	1984/23	2000/08	1990/28	1975/22	1969/27	1988/06	1988/04	1960/08	1963/06	1961/03	1982/03	
Extreme Minimum (°C)	-37.5	-37.5	-32.8	-21./	-6.7	-2	2.8	1005/20	-3.3	-11.1	-22	-36	
Precipitation	1994/10	19/9/10	1902/02	1972/07	1900/02	1977/09	1902/05	1903/30	1905/25	1970/27	1969/29	1960/25	
Bainfall (mm)	18.1	17.2	26.7	55	77	86.4	73.1	95.5	99.7	65.8	61.1	22.3	697.9 D
Snowfall (cm)	58.4	36.9	27.3	6	0.3	0	0	0 0	0	3.9	27.4	53.6	213.7 D
Precipitation (mm)	76.5	54.1	54	60.9	77.3	86.4	73.1	95.5	99.7	69.7	88.5	75.9	911.6 D
Average Snow Depth (cm)				0	0	0	0	) Č	0	0	0		
Median Snow Depth (cm)				0	0	0	0	) C	0	0	0		
Snow Depth at Month-end (cm)			0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	1	5	
Extreme Daily Rainfall (mm)	38	32.8	33.8	35.5	47.8	60.4	91.2	72.9	67.4	52.6	35.3	29.5	
Date (yyyy/dd)	1985/01	1997/21	1974/04	1991/08	1994/26	2000/11	1980/20	1961/28	1986/11	1995/05	1968/28	1998/06	
Extreme Daily Snowfall (cm)	30	40.6	23	16	7.6	0	1050/01	1050/01	0 0	18	36	38.5	
Extreme Daily Precipitation (mm	1981/06	1960/19	1987/30	1995/04	1966/02	1929/01	1958/01	1958/01	1958/01	1997/26	1987/25	19/8/2/	
Date (www./dd)	1985/01	1960/19	1974/04	1991/08	100//26	2000/11	1980/20	1961/28	1986/11	1995/05	1987/25	1978/27	
Extreme Snow Depth (cm)	54	70	30	2 2	1554/20	2000/11	1500,20	1501/20	1500/11	1555,05	22	1570,27	
Date (vvv/dd)	1984/27	1985/14	1984/06	1985/05	1983/01	1983/01	1983/01	1983/01	1983/01	1983/01	1987/27	1989/17	
Days with Maximum Temperatu	re	,											
<= 0 °C	20.9	17	10.3	0.8	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.05	4.6	14.9	68.5 D
> 0 °C	10.1	11.3	20.7	29.2	31	30	31	. 31	. 30	31	25.4	16.2	296.8 D
> 10 °C	0.14	0.38	4.4	15.8	28.9	29.9	31	. 31	. 29.4	22.3	6	0.95	200 D
> 20 °C	0	0	0.62	3	11.9	22.4	29.3	26.8	15.4	3.2	0.05	0	112.5 D
> 30 °C	0	0	0	0.05	0.55	2.9	4.6	2.4	0.65	0	0	0	11.1 D
> 35 °C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.15	0.05	0	0	0	0	0.2 D
> 0 °C	'e 1 E	1 0	4.1	12.6	26.5	20.0	21	21	20.2	22.4	10.1	2	702 8 0
<= 2°C	30.6	27.7	4.1 20.1	20.2	20.5	25.5		0.05	23.2	13.2	23.5	29.8	185.5 D
<= 0 °C	29.5	26.5	26.9	16.5	4.5	0.15	0	0.03	0.85	8.7	19.9	23.0	161.4 D
< -2 °C	26.9	23.1	21.4	9	1.1	0	0	) C	0.1	2.8	11.3	22.7	118.3 D
< -10 °C	16.2	14.1	8.6	0.25	0	0	0	) Č	0	0	1.7	10.1	50.9 D
< -20 °C	5.4	3.7	1.5	0	0	0	0	) C	0	0	0.11	1.9	12.5 D
< - 30 °C	0.76	0.1	0.05	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.05	0.96 D
Days with Rainfall													
>= 0.2 mm	3.6	2.9	5.4	10.8	11.9	11.4	10.5	11.9	13.5	14.4	11	5.3	112.3 D
>= 5 mm	1.2	1.1	2	4	5.2	4.5	4.7	5.5	6.2	4.5	4.2	1.5	44.4 D
>= 10 mm	0.67	0.57	0.55	1.5	2.5	3	2.4	3.3	3.3	1.6	1.8	0.7	21.7 D
Days With Snowfall	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.24	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.21	0.05	4.5 0
>= 0.2 cm	13.4	10	6.9	1.7	0.05	0	0	) C	0	0.8	4.9	11	48.7 D
>= 5 cm	4.7	2.8	2	0.33	0.05	0	0	) C	0	0.25	2	3.9	15.9 D
>= 10 cm	1.2	0.76	0.45	0.14	0	0	0	) Č	0	0.15	1.1	1.2	4.9 D
>= 25 cm	0.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.11	0.1	0.31 D
Days with Precipitation													
>= 0.2 mm	16.5	12.1	11.4	12	11.9	11.4	10.5	11.9	13.5	14.8	14.8	15.3	156 D
>= 5 mm	6	3.9	4	4.4	5.2	4.5	4.7	5.5	6.2	4.8	6.4	5.6	60.9 D
>= 10 mm	0.14	1.3	1.2	1.8	2.5	3	2.4	5.3	3.3	1.8	3.1	0.15	27.4 D
>= 25 mm Days with Snow Depth	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.24	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.0	0.2	0.57	0.15	4.7 D
>= 1 cm				0	0	0	0		0	0			
>= 5 cm				0	0	0	0		0	0			
>= 10 cm				0	0	0	0	) C	0	0			
>= 20 cm				0	0	0	0	) Č	0	0			
Degree Days													
Above 24 °C	0	0	0	0	0.1	2.9	7.1	. 4	0.4	0	0	0	14.5 D
Above 18 °C	0	0	0	1.7	11.7	44.8	83	63.5	20.2	0.9	0	0	225.9 D
Above 15 °C	0	0	0.5	5.6	32	97.4	161.6	135.7	53.7	5.6	0	0	492 D
Above 10 °C	0	0	3.9	22.1	103.4	228.6	314.9	285.7	155.5	40.8	3.3	0.3	1158.6 D
Above 5 °C	0.7	1.1	14.7	73.5	231.1	377	469.9	440.6	297.6	129.4	25.6	3.8	2064.9 D
ADOVE U C Below 0 °C	9.2	13.7	52.7 109.9	183.1	384.3 n	527	624.9 n	595.6	447.4	2/2	95.6	24.5	3230 D 712 8 D
Below 5 °C	238	316.2	200.8	7.5 47 g	1 9	0	0	, u	, U	12.6	29.0 109.6	275 5	1373 9 D
Below 10 °C	538.8	456.3	369.9	146.4	29.2	1.6	0	0.2	8.1	79	237.4	427	2293.8 D
Below 15 °C	693.8	597.5	521.5	279.9	112.7	20.3	1.8	5.1	56.3	198.8	384.1	581.7	3453.4 D
Below 18 °C	786.8	682.2	614.1	366	185.5	57.8	16.1	. 25.9	112.8	287.1	474	674.6	4282.9 D

1981 to 2010 Canadian Climate Normals station data (Frost-Free) Frost-Free: Code

Average Date of Last Spring Frost 19-May D Average Date of First Fall Frost 30-Sep D Average Length of Frost-Free Per 133 Days D 50% 20-May 50% Probability of last temperature in 10% 25% 33% 66% 75% 90% 31-May 25% 28-May 33% 09-Jun 18-May 66% 08-May 90% 14-May 75% Date Probability of first temperature ir 10% Date Probability of frost-free period ec 20-Sep 25% 116 28-Sep 50% 06-Oct 75% 13-Oct 90% 13-Sep 23-Sep 04-Oct 10% 33% 66% Days 102 116 120 126 135 141 155

# Wasaga Beach

THORNTHWAITE-TYPE MONTHLY WATER-BALANCE MODEL													
Location Wasaga Beach, Ontar													
Latitude	44.5												
Declination (deg)	-21.30	-13.30	-2.00	9.80	18.90	23.30	21.30	13.70	3.00	-9.00	-18.60	-23.30	
Declination (rad)	-0.37	-0.23	-0.03	0.17	0.33	0.41	0.37	0.24	0.05	-0.16	-0.32	-0.41	
DayLength (hr)*	9.10	10.27	11.75	13.26	14.53	15.22	14.90	13.79	12.38	10.85	9.51	8.78	
													Total
Precipitation (mm)	76.5	54.1	54	60.9	77.3	86.4	73.1	95.5	99.7	69.7	88.5	75.9	912
Temperature (°C)	-7.4	-6.2	-1.8	5.9	12.4	17.6	20.2	19.2	14.9	8.8	2.2	-3.8	-
Potential Evapotranspiration (mm)	0	0	0	40.8	67.8	97.6	111	97.2	67.4	40.3	22.9	0	545
Surplus	366	mm/yr											
PET Calc													
IF(T>0,924*DayLength*0.611*EXF	P(17.3*]	Г/(T+23	7.3))/(T	+273.2	),0)								



#### Pre-Development Water Balance

The Development Water balance	1				
	Undeveloped				
Catchment Designation	Vegetated Areas				
(m <sup>2</sup> )	- га 200				
Area (m <sup>-</sup> )	58,800				
Pervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	58,800				
Impervious Area (m <sup>-</sup> )	0				
	0.05				
	0.25				
Soli	0.10				
Land Cover	0.20				
Inflitration Factor	0.55				
Run-Off Coefficient	0.45				
Inputs	012				
Precipitation (mm/year)	912				
Outputs (per Unit Area)					
Evapotranspiration (mm/year)	545				
Evaporation (mm/year)(assumed to be 10% of					
Precipitation if evapotranspiration does not occur)	0				
Precipitation Surplus (mm/year)	367				
Infiltration (mm/year)	202				
Roottop Infiltration (mm/year)	0				
Total Infiltration (mm/year)	202				
Runoff Pervious Area (mm/year)	165				
Runoff Impervious Areas (mm/year)	0				
Total Outputs (mm/year)	912				
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)	0				
Inputs (Volumes)					
Precipitation (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	53,626				
Total Inputs (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	53,626				
Outputs (Volumes)					
Evapotranspiration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	32,046				
Evaporation (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	0				
Precipitation Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	21,580				
Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	11,869				
Rooftop Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	0				
Total Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	11,869				
Runoff Pervious Area (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	9,711				
Runoff Impervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	0				
Runoff To Storm Sewer (m³/year)	0				
Total Runoff (m <sup>s</sup> /year)	9,711				
Total Outputs (m³/year)	53,626				
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)	0				

Total Infiltration (QI)	11,869
Total Runoff (QR)	9,711
Sum of QI and QR	21,580



#### Post-Development Water Balance

	Parkland and	Stormwater	Roads, Parking	Single Residential	Single Residential	Townhomos	Townhomos	Amonity (Por	Amonity (Imp	
Catchment Designation	Landscaped	Management and	Lots and	Lots (Por Aroas)	Lots (Imp. Aroas)	(Por Aroas)	(Imp. Aroas)	Amenity (Per.	Amenity (imp.	Condominiums
	Areas	Drainage blocks	Sidewalks	LOIS (Per. Areas)	Lots (IIIIp. Areas)	(Per. Areas)	(IIIIp. Areas)	Aleas	Areasj	
Area (m²)	9,550	3,900	16,500	4,508	6,762	1,680	9,520	1,750	1,750	2,880
Pervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	9,550	3,900	16,500	4,508	C	1,680	0	1,750	0	0
Impervious Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	0	0	16,500	0	6,762	0	9,520	0	1,750	2,880
				Infiltration Factors						
Topography	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.25	0.00	0.00
Soil	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00
Land Cover	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
Infiltration Factor	0.55	0.55	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.55	0.00	0.00
Run-Off Coefficient	0.45	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	0.45	1.00	1.00
				Inputs						
Precipitation (mm/year)	912	912	912	912	912	912	912	912	912	912
			Ou	tputs (per Unit Area	)					
Evapotranspiration (mm/year)	545	545	0	545	C	545	0	545	0	0
Evaporation (mm/year)(assumed to be 10% of										
Precipitation if evapotranspiration does not occur)	0	0	91	0	91	. 0	91	0	91	91
Precipitation Surplus (mm/year)	367	367	821	367	821	367	821	367	821	821
Infiltration (mm/year)	202	202	0	202	C	202	0	202	0	0
Rooftop Infiltration (mm/year)	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0
Total Infiltration (mm/year)	202	202	0	202	C	202	0	202	0	0
Runoff Pervious Area (mm/year)	165	165	0	165	C	165	0	165	0	0
Runoff Impervious Areas (mm/year)	0	0	821	0	821	. 0	821	0	821	821
Total Outputs (mm/year)	912	912	912	912	912	912	912	912	912	912
Difference (Inputs-Outputs)	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0
	1			Inputs (Volumes)		1				
Precipitation (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	8,710	3,557	15,048	4,111	6,167	1,532	8,682	1,596	1,596	2,627
Total Inputs (m³/year)	8,710	3,557	15,048	4,111	6,167	1,532	8,682	1,596	1,596	2,627
	1	1		Outputs (Volumes)		T		1		
Evapotranspiration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	5,205	2,126	0	2,457	C	916	0	954	0	0
Evaporation (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	0	0	1505	0	617	0	868	0	160	263
Precipitation Surplus (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	3,505	1,431	13,543	1,654	5,550	617	7,814	642	1,436	2,364
Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	1,928	787	0	910	C	339	0	353	0	0
Rooftop Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0
Total Infiltration (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	1,928	787	0	910	C	339	0	353	0	0
Runoff Pervious Area (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	1,577	644	0	744	C	277	0	289	0	0
Runoff Impervious Areas (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	0	0	13543	0	5550	0	7814	0	1436	2364
Runoff To Storm Sewer (m³/year)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Runoff (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	1,577	644	13,543	744	5,550	277	7,814	289	1,436	2,364
Total Outputs (m <sup>3</sup> /year)	8,710	3,557	15,048	4,111	6,167	1,532	8,682	1,596	1,596	2,627
Differece (Inputs-Outputs)	0	0	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0
Total Infiltration (QI)	4,317									

Total Runoff (QR)

34,240

38,557

Sum of QI and QR